



Olaf Kolkman

Disclaimer: these are my personal interpretations not necessarily represent any institutional opinions

ITR

International Telecommunication Regulation



1865

ITR
1988

2003-2005



2012



Telecommunication Institutions



Internet Institutions



1992



1986



1992

and many more



1998

WWW

1991

ADSL

1998


3G

2002



NLnet Labs

1876




1969

International Telecommunication Regulations

	<i>Page</i>
Preamble	3
Art. 1 Purpose and Scope of the Regulations.....	3
Art. 2 Definitions	5
Art. 3 International Network.....	8
Art. 4 International Telecommunication Services.....	8
Art. 5 Safety of Life and Priority of Telecommunications.....	9
Art. 6 Charging and Accounting	10
Art. 7 Suspension of Services	12
Art. 8 Dissemination of Information.....	12
Art. 9 Special Arrangements.....	13
Art. 10 Final Provisions	14
Final Formula	14
App. 1 General Provisions Concerning Accounting.....	15
App. 2 Additional Provisions Relating to Maritime Telecommunications.....	21
App. 3 Service and Privilege Telecommunications.....	23
Final protocol.....	27
 (Figures between parentheses indicate the order in which the statements appear in the Final Protocol)	
Algeria (People's Democratic Republic of) (30, 38)	
Argentine Republic (27)	
Belgium (35)	
Benin (People's Republic of) (40)	
Brazil (Federative Republic of) (23)	


APT



ATU

Document DT/1-E




Arab Region



PROPOSALS RECEIVED FROM ITU MEMBER STATES
FOR THE WORK OF THE CONFERENCE



RCC



CITEL

CEPT


- APT - Asia Pacific Telecommunications
- Arab Region
- ATU - African Telecommunications Union
- CITEL - America's Region
- CEPT - European Region
- RCC - Regional Commonwealth in the field of Communications



Regional Representation

Plenary

[Square Brackets]



[Square Brackets]

Working Groups

[Square Brackets]

break-outs

Ad Hoc Group

Consensus, No Voting, Success or Great Success

International Telecommunication Union

FINAL ACTS
**WORLD
CONFERENCE ON
INTERNATIONAL
TELECOMMUNICATIONS**
(DUBAI, 2012)



<http://www.itu.int/en/wcit-12/Documents/final-acts-wcit-12.pdf>

Can you maintain a straight
face and say this whole
thing is not about Internet?

The letter
vs
the spirit

*Right of
Individuals*

Member States affirm their commitment to implement these Regulations in a manner that respects and upholds their human rights obligations.

VS

These Regulations recognize the right of access of Member States to international telecommunication services.

*Access to PayPal from
Sudan and other
economic boycotts*

*Rights of
Countries*

Legitimacy of Identified Access

ARTICLE 5A

Security and robustness of networks

41B Member States shall individually and collectively endeavour to ensure the security and robustness of international telecommunication networks in order to achieve effective use thereof and avoidance of technical harm thereto, as well as the harmonious development of international telecommunication services offered to the public.

‘Harmonious’

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Socialist_Harmonious_Society

ARTICLE 5B

Unsolicited bulk electronic communications

41C Member States should endeavour to take necessary measures to prevent the propagation of unsolicited bulk electronic communications and minimize its impact on international telecommunication services. Member States are encouraged to cooperate in that sense.

Content

Redline

RESOLUTION PLEN/3 (DUBAI, 2012)

To foster an enabling environment for the greater growth of the Internet


The World Conference on International Telecommunications (Dubai, 2012),

What about the
IGF?

Does this make the ITU the
exclusive place for Internet
Governance?

What about other
Fora?

The attachment of this
resolution to the ITR itself



I do not know what this means in terms of implementation

This outcome is *NOT* a success

There are no winners

North-South

Free vs
Controlled

Market vs
Regulators

Multi stakeholder
and ITU
Responsibilities

SPAM

DDOS

BOTS

Changing in
Funding of
Access

individual vs
public interest

Capacity
Building

The underlying
issues are real

What Now

