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PROPOSALS RECEIVED FROM ITU MEMBER STATES FOR THE WORK OF THE CONFERENCE
Consensus, No Voting, Success or Great Success
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Can you maintain a straight face and say this whole thing is not about Internet?

The letter vs the spirit
Member States affirm their commitment to implement these Regulations in a manner that respects and upholds their human rights obligations.

These Regulations recognize the right of access of Member States to international telecommunication services.

VS

Access to PayPal from Sudan and other economic boycotts
ARTICLE 5A
Security and robustness of networks
41B Member States shall individually and collectively endeavour to ensure the security and robustness of international telecommunication networks in order to achieve effective use thereof and avoidance of technical harm thereto, as well as the harmonious development of international telecommunication services offered to the public.

ARTICLE 5B
Unsolicited bulk electronic communications
41C Member States should endeavour to take necessary measures to prevent the propagation of unsolicited bulk electronic communications and minimize its impact on international telecommunication services. Member States are encouraged to cooperate in that sense.

‘Harmonious’
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Socialist_Harmonious_Society
RESOLUTION PLEN/3 (DUBAI, 2012)
To foster an enabling environment for the greater growth of the Internet
The World Conference on International Telecommunications (Dubai, 2012),

Does this make the ITU the exclusive place for Internet Governance?

What about the IGF?

What about other Fora?

The attachment of this resolution to the ITR itself
I do not know what this means in terms of implementation.
This outcome is NOT a success
There are no winners

North-South
Free vs Controlled
Market vs Regulators
Multi stakeholder and ITU Responsibilities

The underlying issues are real

individual vs public interest
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